Case 1:07-cv-09150-AKH	Document 1	Filed 10/05/2007	Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YOR	K		
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION		21 MC 100 (AKH)	
PATRICK McLoughlin and Catherine McLoughlin	E	DOCKET NO.	
Plai	intiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHOOMPLAINT RELATED TO THE	E
- against -		MASTER COMPLA	AINI
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,		PLAINTIFF(S) DEN JURY	MAND A TRIAL BY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,			
Defe	endants.		
By Order of the Honorable Alv 2006, ("the Order"), Amended Master C			<u> </u>
	NOTICE OF	ADOPTION	
All headings and paragraphs in a instant Phintiff(s) as if fully set forth he Plaintiff(s), which are listed below. These and specific case information is set forth.	erein in additio e are marked w	on to those paragraphs ith an '☑' if applicable	specific to the individual

and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, PATRICK MCLOUGHLIN AND CATHERINE E MCLOUGHLIN, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1 individu	al and				after the "Injured Plaintiff") ne, East Islip, NY 11730-0	
iiidi vida	ar arre		· ·	OR)	110, Eust 1911p, 1(1 11750 0)	300.
2	2.	Alternatively, □	,	. ,	of Decedent	
		, and brings this	claim in his (her	capacity as	of the Estate of	·
		P	lease read this do	ocument caref	fully.	
		It is very important the	ut you fill out eac	h and every so	ection of this document.	

Ca	se 1:07-cv-09150-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 10/05/2007	Page 2 of 11
to the Injured 4. of New York	SPOUSE at all relevant times in PATRICK MCLOUGHLIN, at to the injuries sustained by her MCLOUGHLIN.	herein, is and has been lawfand brings this derivative achusband (his wife), Plaintif Other: 2002 the Injured Plaintiff wo	e following relationship ully married to Plaintiff tion for her (his) loss due of PATRICK orked for Port Authority
		l	
Location(s) (d Trade Center Site <i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)	☐ The Barge From on or about Approximately	
Approximate	bout _2/1/2002_ until _5/31/2002_; ly _16_ hours per day; for ly _110_ days total.	Approximately =============================	days total.
From on or all Approximate	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout until, ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Non-WTC Site building of plaintiff worked at the add dates alleged, for the hour days, and for the employe	dress/location, for the rs per day, for the total
From on or all Approximate	bout; ly hours per day; for ly days total.	From on or about 9/11/20 Approximately _16_ hour Approximately _6_ days t Name and Address of No Building/Worksite:	s per day; for total;
*Continue t	his information on a separate sheet of pa "Other" locations, please annex a separ		
5.	Injured Plaintiff		
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed above;	noxious fumes on all dates, a	at the site(s) indicated
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	r ingested toxic substances a	and particulates on all
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic s	substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.		

6.

Injure	d Plaintiff
	Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to $$405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. $$40101$, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
	Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☑ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
✓ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on 6/20/07 and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	<u>INC</u> .
✓ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	✓ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
✓ More than thirty days have passed and	HAULING, LLC, INC.
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
(OR)	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	CORPORATION
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	INC.
determination	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION CORP
☐ is pending	
☐ Granting petition was made on	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
Denying petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC. ☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
======================================	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORF ☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☑ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC. ☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
✓ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on 6/20/07	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
✓ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
adjusted this claim	☑ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
✓ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
=======================================	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
□ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	CORP
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY ☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
□ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	
□ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC. ☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC. ☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ EN-TECH CORF
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL
	HE VIND IN VINOLIMIENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Please read this document carefully.

☐ OTHER:

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	<u> </u>
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-09150-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	unded upon Federal Question Jurisdiction; specization Act of 2001, (or); Federal Officers of Contested, by all jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisd ut the U.S.C	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has C. § 1441.
of lial			d defendants based upon the following theories a such a claim under the applicable substantive
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	V	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ☐ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

Other: _____

Case 1:07-cv-09150-AKH Document 1 Filed 10/05/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Cardiovascular Injury: Chest Pain Date of onset: 3/14/2003 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
Respiratory Injury: Cough; Shortness of Breath; Sinus Problems Date of onset: 3/14/2003 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date	✓	Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 3/14/2003 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Chronic Headaches; Sleeping Problems Date of onset: 3/14/2003 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the

	Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:		
<u>~</u>	Pain and suffering		
V	Loss of the enjoyment of life		
\checkmark	Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity		
\checkmark	Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits		
V	Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation		
V	Other: ☑ Mental anguish ☑ Disability ☑ Medical monitoring ☑ Other: Not yet determined		

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York September 27, 2007

Yours, etc.,

E McLoughlin

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), Patrick McLoughlin and Catherine

Chil

By:

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of

perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the

plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other

than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief

are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the

file.

DATED: New York, New York

September 27, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No: UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK PATRICK McLoughlin (AND WIFE, CATHERINE E McLoughlin), Plaintiff(s) - against -A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL., Defendant(s). SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700 To Attorney(s) for Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted. Dated, Attorney(s) for PLEASE TAKE NOTICE: \square NOTICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on □ NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at 20 at $\mathbf{M}.$ Dated, Yours, etc.,

WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP